



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/048,114	03/05/2002	Rainer Hillebrand	RBL0085	6351

7590 10/19/2006
John F Hoffman
Baker & Daniels
111 East Wayne Street
Suite 800
Fort Wayne, IN 46802

EXAMINER

PATEL, NIKETA I

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2181

DATE MAILED: 10/19/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/048,114

Applicant(s)

HILLEBRAND, RAINER

Examiner

Niketa I. Patel

Art Unit

2181

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 August 2006.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 8,10,11,13 and 14 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 8,10,11,13 and 14 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 27 September 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

FF
FRITZ FLEMING
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100
10/16/2006

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 8, 10-11 and 13-14 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 8, 10-11 and 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Grigor et al. U.S. Patent Number: 6,618,026 B1 (hereinafter "*Grigor*") and further in view of Thomas et al. U.S. Patent Application Publication Number: 2005/0198063 A1 (hereinafter '*Thomas*').)
4. Referring to claim 8, *Grigor* teaches a process for the automatic adaptation of the data to be transferred from a data-preparing device [see figure 1, element 18, 20, 22] to a data-requesting device [see figure 1, element 24 or 26 or 28 or 30] to the capabilities of the data-requesting device [see column 2, lines 24-48], in which the data-preparing device receives information data about the capabilities of the data-requesting device [see figure 2, set of modes for display 24, 26, 28 and column 4, lines 11-15], and the information data contain statements in regard to the display format usable by the display of the data-requesting device [see column 3, lines 29-64, 'display modes'], and the data to be transferred are transmitted in correspondence to pre-

Art Unit: 2181

determined capabilities of the data-requesting device, wherein a list of usable display formats is transmitted to from the data-requesting device to the data-preparing device, and the data-preparing device, then, according to availability, selects the best-suited display format [see column 3, lines 29-64, altering one or more parameter of the drawing surface settings to generate an alternate drawing surface setting to provide a better match for the display mode of the device] however does not set forth the limitation of wherein the information data are transmitted from the data-requesting device to the data-preparing device over a network. *Grigor* teaches a network system in which a computer receives satellite broadcast data [see column 3, lines 34-40] however does not disclose whether the various types of displays are networked together. *Thomas* teaches a network system where displays are networked together [see figure 1, element 112, 112, 108 and paragraph 0046] in order to provide remote viewing of various types of data.

One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention would have clearly recognized that it is quite advantageous for the system of *Grigor* to be able to provide networked display devices in order to allow for remote viewing of application data. It is for this reason that one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to implement system of *Grigor* with networked displays to allow a user to remotely view various application data.

5. Referring to claim 10, teachings of *Grigor & Thomas* teaches wherein the information data are transmitted to the data-preparing device over a device engaged between the data-requesting device and the data-preparing device [see column 2, lines 24-48 and column 3, lines 29-64.]

Art Unit: 2181

6. Referring to claim 11, teachings of *Grigor & Thomas* teaches wherein the requested data are stored in a central data bank of the data-preparing device and, on call, are formatted by a formatting device into the pre-determined data format and transmitted to the data-requesting device [see column 2, lines 24-48 and column 3, lines 29-64, memory 34.]

7. Referring to claim 13, teachings of *Grigor & Thomas* teaches wherein the requested data are stored in a central data bank of the data-preparing device and, on call, are formatted by a formatting device into the predetermined data format and transmitted to the data-requesting device [see column 2, lines 24-48 and column 3, lines 29-64, memory 34.]

8. Referring to claim 14, teachings of *Grigor & Thomas* teaches wherein the requested data are stored in a central data bank of the data-preparing device and, on call, are formatted by a formatting device into the predetermined data format and transmitted to the data-requesting device [see column 2, lines 24-48 and column 3, lines 29-64, memory 34.]

Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

Art Unit: 2181

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Niketa I. Patel whose telephone number is (571) 272 4156. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00 A.M. to 5:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Fritz Fleming can be reached on (571) 272 4145. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

NP
12/12/2006

Fritz Fleming
FRITZ FLEMING
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100
10/16/2006